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1. Introduction
Korean vowel harmony

• Korean exhibits two types of vowel harmony
  (Kim-Renaud 1976, Park 1990, Sohn 1999)
  – Verbal suffix vowel harmony
  – Sound-symbolic vowel harmony

• Vowel harmony has gradually weakened in Korean due to several sound changes.
Dialect Variation

• A study of variation in vowel harmony across dialects, where a range of degrees of attrition is represented

• Korean dialect speech corpus (*The National Institute of the Korean Language, 2004-2010*).
2. Background
Verbal suffix harmony

- A series of verbal inflectional suffixes that begin with [a] or [ʌ] depending on the stem vowel quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Dark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>작-아 [cak-a] ‘small’</td>
<td>길-어 [kil-ʌ] ‘long’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>속-아 [sok-a] ‘be fooled’</td>
<td>물-어 [mul-ʌ] ‘bite’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>속-어 [se-ʌ] ‘count’</td>
<td>먹-어 [mʌk-ʌ] ‘eat’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Light vs. Dark

- Last stem vowel determines the suffix vowel
  - Light V → Light allomorph [a] (ex: caka ‘small’)
  - Dark V → Dark allomorph [ʌ] (ex: kilʌ ‘long’)
Light vs. Dark

- Last stem vowel determines the suffix vowel
  - Light V $\rightarrow$ Light allomorph [a] (ex: caكا ‘small’)
  - Dark V $\rightarrow$ Dark allomorph [ʌ] (ex: kिʌ ‘long’)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Front</th>
<th>Back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>(y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>(ø)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>(ɛ)</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dark vowels: i, u
Light vowels: a, o
Attrition of vowel harmony

• Light vowel stems optionally take the dark allomorph [ʌ].
  (Hong 2008, HS Kang 1996, HJ Kang 2012, etc.)

[mak-ɑ] 막-아  ~  [mak-ʌ] 막-어  ‘to block’
Locality effect

• Variation in vowel harmony shows sensitivity to intervening consonants.

• Harmony is more likely to be retained/obeyed when the trigger and the target vowels are strictly (surface) adjacent.

  [na-a] ~ *[na-ʌ] ‘give birth’ (Kim-Renaud 1975)
  낳아 *낳어

  [cap-a] ~ [cap-ʌ] ‘catch’
  잡아 잡어
3. The corpus
The Corpus

• **The Korean dialect speech corpus**  
  (The National Institute of the Korean Language 2004-11)
• Sociolinguistic interviews and elicited lexical and sentential productions
• Over 60 towns in Korea and abroad  
  – 51 towns analyzed in this study
• 1 or 2 speakers in their 70s~80s from each town
• Conjugations of around 350 verbs/ adjectives.
• **Extracted over 18,000 verb forms that exhibit vowel harmony.**
Distribution of dialects analyzed

- 51 towns in 8 provinces in South Korea
4. Data analysis
Analysis

(1) Stem UR analysis
(2) KVH Morphological parser
Stem UR analysis

- Based on the full paradigm of a given verb produced by the speakers, the underlying form of each verb stem is manually identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>data</th>
<th>paradigm</th>
<th>UR analysis</th>
<th>dialect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cʰwʌt’a</td>
<td>cʰupc’i, cʰupk’o,</td>
<td>/cʰup/ ‘cold’</td>
<td>(SCB_BE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>취따</td>
<td>cʰupt’ʌla, cʰuwʌsla</td>
<td>췄-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>췄찌, 췄꼬, 췄떠라, 추위서</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cʰwʌt’a</td>
<td>cʰuci, cʰuku, cʰutʌla,</td>
<td>/chu/ ‘dance’</td>
<td>(SCB_OC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>취따</td>
<td>cʰuniŋk’e</td>
<td>추-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>추지, 추구, 추더라,추넝께</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The KVH Morphological parser

• The program parses inflected forms of verbs into **stem+suffix**.
• It generates various codings for phonological characteristics of the stem and suffix.
• It is built on a **rule-based system**.
• It was developed using the **R** programming language. (R Development Core Team (2012))
Architecture of KVH parser

1. Start
2. Read Input
3. Option: y, n, or a?
   - y: Create a dataframe, TOWN
   - n: Source VowelHarmony Function
   - a: Create a dataframe, TOWN
4. Option: y?
   - y: Create a dataframe, TOWN
   - n: Option: y?
     - y: Create a dataframe, TOWN
     - n: Option: y?
       - y: Create a dataframe, TOWN
       - n: Write a dataframe, TOWN
5. Call VowelHarmony Function
   - Standard Split
   - Final Double Consonant
   - GF&MO for Samchuk
   - GF for Samchuk
   - GF(W)
   - GF(U)
   - ye monophonation
     - ye, oye, e, o
   - v lengthening
6. Call Romanization Function
   - Korean to English
   - VowelString is null?
     - No: NO
     - Yes: VowelString is null? NO
   - Pick vowels from English Input
   - Return OutputString
7. Return TOWN
8. END
The process of the KVH morphological parser

1. Input (in Hangul)
2. Romanization
3. Component 1: regular forms
4. Component 2: Irregular forms
5. Component 3: Phonological rules

Output

Romanization

- P-irregular verbs
- H-irregular verbs
- S-irregular verbs
- T-irregular
- L-irregular

Component 2: Irregular forms

- Glide formation
- Glide insertion
- Vowel deletion

Component 3: Phonological rules
Component 1: regular forms

Input:
작아서 ‘small’
(UR: 작-)

Romanization

cakaseo

Component 1: regular forms

Output:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stem</th>
<th>Suffix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cak</td>
<td>aseo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Component 3: Phonological Processes

Input: 봄라 ‘look’ (UR: 보-)

Output:
- Stem: po
- Suffix: ala

GF(W): o +a > wa
## Data Structure (partial)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>C8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item.id</td>
<td>item</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td><strong>Input_data</strong></td>
<td>Underlying <em>stem</em></td>
<td>Romanization</td>
<td>stem_en</td>
<td>suffix_en</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>농-</td>
<td>농</td>
<td><strong>awadu</strong></td>
<td>aoh</td>
<td>aue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>압</td>
<td>압</td>
<td><strong>aladu</strong></td>
<td>alh</td>
<td>adu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>까다름-</td>
<td>까다름</td>
<td><strong>kkadalowoseo</strong></td>
<td>kkadolop</td>
<td>eoseo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C9</th>
<th>C10</th>
<th>c11</th>
<th>C12</th>
<th>C13</th>
<th>C14</th>
<th>C15</th>
<th>C16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>irregular</td>
<td>phonological_ process</td>
<td>stem_vowel</td>
<td>stem_length</td>
<td>last_stem_ vowel</td>
<td>first_suffix_vowel</td>
<td>V1_V2</td>
<td>harmony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>GF(W)</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Light-Light(LL)</td>
<td>Harmony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>a a o</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>eo</td>
<td>Light-Dark(LD)</td>
<td>Disharmony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C17</th>
<th>C18</th>
<th>C19</th>
<th>C20</th>
<th>C21</th>
<th>C22</th>
<th>C23</th>
<th>C24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intervening <em>cons</em> UR</td>
<td>intervening <em>cons</em> SF</td>
<td>no_intervening <em>cons</em> UR</td>
<td>no_intervening <em>cons</em> SF</td>
<td>vowel lengthening</td>
<td>variation</td>
<td>town</td>
<td>Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SGG_YI</td>
<td>SGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lh</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>variation</td>
<td>SGG_YI</td>
<td>SGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SGG_HS</td>
<td>SGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Results
All dialects combined

Suffix vowel

Dark

Light

Last stem vowel

Y i ʌ e i u ε a o ø
Intervening consonant effects

(1) No consonant (VV)
(2) Laryngeals (VHV)
(3) Other consonants (VCV, VCCV)
(1) No consonant (VV): /a/

• No overt suffix vowel

/ka-/ [ka-∅] ‘go’
(1) No consonant (VV): /o/

- **Light:** 99% (n=334)
  
  /po-/ [po-a] ‘see’
  
  [pw-a] (glide formation)
  
  [p-a] (glide deletion)

- **Dark:** 1%* (n=4)
  
  /c’o-/ [c’o-ʌ] ‘peck’
  
  /k’o-/ [k’w-ʌ] ‘twist’

*All 4 cases from one town 공주(Kongjoo)
(2) Laryngeals (VHV)

• H-final
  – V-initial suffix: deletion
    /nah-asʌ/[naasʌ] /nah-ɨni/ [naɨni] ‘give birth’
  – C-initial suffix: aspiration or gemination
    /nah-ko/ [nakʰo] /nah-ni/ [nannı]

• “S-irregular”
  – V-initial suffix: deletion
    /naʔ-asaʔ/[naasʌ] /naʔ-ɨni/ [naɨni] ‘to improve’
  – C-initial suffix: tensification or gemination
    /naʔ-ko/ [nak’o] /naʔ-ni/ [nannı]
(2) Laryngeals (VHV): /a/

- Light: 98% (n=64)
  /nah-/ [na-ato] ‘give birth’
- Dark: 2% (n=1)
  /naʔ-/ [na-ʌt’a] ‘get well’
(2) Laryngeals (VHV): /o/

• Light: 98% (n=136)
  /noh-/ [no-a] ‘let go’
  [nw-a] (glide formation)
  [n-a] (glide deletion)
  [no-wa] (glide insertion)

• Dark: 2% (n=3)
  /coh-/ [co-wʌsʌ] ‘like’
(3) Other consonants (VCV, VCCV): /a/

- **Light**: 56% (n=1086)
  /cap-/ [cap-a] ‘grab’
  /palk-/ [palk-a] ‘bright’
- **Dark**: 44% (n=837)
  /cap-/ [cap-ʌ] ‘grab’
  /palk-/ [palk-ʌ] ‘bright’
No count effect

• Light: 56% (n=1086)
  /cap-/ [cap-a] ‘grab’ VCV 57% (n=794)
  /palk-/ [palk-a] ‘bright’

• Dark: 44% (n=837)
  /cap-/ [cap-ʌ] ‘grab’ VCV 43% (n=605)
  /palk-/ [palk-ʌ] ‘bright’
No count effect

- Light: 56% (n=1086)
  /cap-/ [cap-a] ‘grab’
  /palk-/ [palk-a] ‘bright’ VCCV 56% (n=292)
- Dark: 44% (n=837)
  /cap-/ [cap-ʌ] ‘grab’
  /palk-/ [palk-ʌ] ‘bright’ VCCV 44% (n=232)
(3) Other consonants (VCV, VCCV): /o/

- **Light:** 77% (n=493)
  - /k’oc-/ [k’oc-a] ‘peg’
  - /kolm-/ [kolm-a] ‘fester’

- **Dark:** 23% (n=151)
  - /k’oc-/ [k’oc-ʌ] ‘peg’
  - /kolm-/ [kolm-ʌ] ‘fester’
No count effect

• Light: 77% (n=493)
  /k’oc-/ [k’oc-a] ‘peg’ VCV 77% (n=401)
  /kolm-/ [kolm-a] ‘fester’

• Dark: 23% (n=151)
  /k’oc-/ [k’oc-ʌ] ‘peg’ VCV 23% (n=121)
  /kolm-/ [kolm-ʌ] ‘fester’
No count effect

- **Light**: 77% (n=493)
  
  /k’oc-/ [k’oc-a] ‘peg’
  
  /kolm-/ [kolm-a] ‘fester’ VCCV 75% (n=92)

- **Dark**: 23% (n=151)
  
  /k’oc-/ [k’oc-ʌ] ‘peg’
  
  /kolm-/ [kolm-ʌ] ‘fester’ VCCV 25% (n=30)
Summary

• Attrition of light vowel harmony is affecting the majority of Korean dialects.
• But, despite wide variation, in almost all dialects, light vowel harmony is consistently preserved when there is no intervening oral consonant.
• No gradient count effect: the number of intervening consonants does not matter.
5. Discussion
Relativized vs. Strict locality

• Relativized locality
  – Seemingly non-local interactions are local when we assume only relevant targets are visible to the process.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & C & V \\
| & | & \\
[F] & & \\
\end{array}
\]

• Strict locality
  – Only strictly adjacent targets interact.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & V & \\
| & | & \\
[F] & & \\
\end{array}
\]
Relativized vs. Strict locality

• Relativized locality
  – Seemingly non-local interactions are local when we assume only relevant targets are visible to the process.

  ![Diagram for relativized locality]

• Strict locality
  – Only strictly adjacent targets interact.

  ![Diagram for strict locality]
Relativized vs. Strict locality

- **Relativized locality**
  - Seemingly non-local interactions are local when we assume only relevant targets are visible to the process.

- **Strict locality**
  - Only strictly adjacent targets interact.
Constraining locality

• As harmony undergoes attrition, the domain of locality narrows.

• This is a further restriction in locality from the original harmony, where harmony applies iteratively to the entire word, applying to long-distance targets.

\[
\begin{align*}
V \ C \ V \ C + V & \rightarrow \ V \ C + V & \rightarrow & \ V + V \\
| & | & | \\
[F] & [F] & [F]
\end{align*}
\]

(MK, Sound symbolic) (cf. Duncan 2015, Finnish)
Putting it in OT terms

• Implicational hierarchy
  
  $\text{HARMONIZE VV} \gg \text{HARMONIZE VCV}$
  
  (Strictly local) (Relatively local)

• A phonological process cannot allow a non-local interaction without also allowing a strictly local interaction.

• The emergence of the locality effect as a case of TETU (The Emergence of the Unmarked).
Putting it in OT terms

• Harmony attrition: Preference for a default $[\Lambda]$ suffix form ($\text{SUFFIX}=[\Lambda]$) moves up the harmony constraint hierarchy.
  
  – $\text{HARMONY VV} \gg \text{HARMONY VCV} \gg \text{SUFFIX}=[\Lambda]$: harmony
  
  – $\text{HARMONY VV} \gg \text{SUFFIX}=[\Lambda] \gg \text{HARMONY VCV}$: local only
  
  – $\text{SUFFIX}=[\Lambda] \gg \text{HARMONY VV} \gg \text{HARMONY VCV}$: no harmony
Conclusion

• The Emergence of The Unmarked in attrition of a phonological process
• Phonological variation as a fertile ground for discovery of emergent phonological properties
Future studies

• Vowel inventory/phonetic realization and harmony robustness
  – Neutral vowel effect
  – Dialectal variation in vowel inventory/vowel shift and its effect on robustness of harmony
Phonetic distribution of vowels in the same corpus

Yoon, Kang and Han (ICPhS 2015)
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Functional alternative

• VV sequences tend to be subject to further phonological processes that obscure the original stem quality (e.g., glide formation) and retention of original harmony aids the recovery of correct UR. (H. Kang 2012)

/s’o-a/ > [s’wa]

/s’u-ʌ/ > [s’wʌ]
Functionally unmotivated harmony

• Stem-final /a/ is not subject to reduction before a vowel initial suffix. So, there is no functional motivation for /a/ to preferentially retain harmony in VV over VCV context.
Glide formation and harmony: /o/ stems

• If harmony retention is motivated by glide formation (=loss of stem vowel), we expect that when disharmony should occur more in forms without glide formation.

• This is not the case. The harmony rate is at ceiling regardless of whether glide formation applied or not.
  – Harmony rate with glide formation ([pw-a]): 99%
  – Harmony rate without glide formation([po-a]): 99%
Glide formation and harmony

• Glide formation occurs at a lower rate for VHV stems than for VV stems, a gradient opacity effect.
  – Glide formation in VV: 67% (/po-a/ > [pw-a])
  – Glide formation in VHV: 44% (/noh-a/ > [nw-a])

• But, again the harmony rate is at ceiling for both stem types.
  – Harmony in VV: 99%
  – Harmony in VHV: 98%
Phonetic Grounding?

- This explanation makes sense for /a/ stems as harmonic [a-a] sequences are phonetically more similar than disharmonic [a-ʌ] sequences.
- In many central dialects, /o/ is raised to a high vowel position.
- The original harmonic feature ([RTR] cf. S. Ko 2012) is synchronically opaque and the phonetic ground for treating [o-a] as phonetically more similar than [o-ʌ] is weak.
## Individual dialects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>100 % Light</th>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>0 % Light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/o/ stems</td>
<td>25 dialects</td>
<td>26 dialects</td>
<td>0 dialects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/a/ stems</td>
<td>7 dialects</td>
<td>42 dialects</td>
<td>2 dialects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>